

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/766,858	Applicant(s) KIM ET AL.	
	Examiner Susan F. Rayyan	Art Unit 2167	

All Participants:

(1) Susan F. Rayyan.

(2) Esther Chong, Reg. No. 40,953.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 1 February 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In order to place the application in condition for allowance, it was agreed to add the following limitation to the independent claims: the base part having a value created by a smaller time unit and extension part having a value created by a bigger time unit.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)